

No.

783

3615

Name of Petitioners

Munnapal Shanthof
Kasipathaiya &
Patel Karsing Rao

Village.

Taluk.

Nelamangala.

District.

Bangalore

Date of App.

11.6.94

Date of Receipt.

15.6.94

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

Stating that the remuneration they were getting viz Rs 3 & 2 respectively for their services connected with the Municipality was stopped without any reason, that as they are still performing the duties, pray that the concession similar to the one ^{which is being} granted to the Shanthofes & Patels belonging to the Hargamangola Municipality may also be conceded to them with effect from January 1894.

18/6/94

Previous references.

File No. 192-94

1894.
Land Revenue
(~~General~~)

Serial Nos. 1-8

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-8

Major Part B. for November 26

SUBJECT.

Allocation of free pasture lands for
Aregijjanahalli & its hamlets in the
Tumkur Taluk.

From, the D. C. Tumkur D. No. 13614
L. No. 872 of 11.4.95 R. 3692

Recommends sanction to the allotment
of free pasture lands for Aregijjanahalli &
hamlets of the Tumkur Taluk.

2. To, the D. C. Tumkur D. No. 21523 of 21.5.92
R. 3082

Requests information see the above on San
points

3. G. R. S. 58 From, the D. C. Tumkur D. No. 3668
L. No. 407 of 4.11.95 R. 2407

Furnishes the information called for

3
accordingly revised.

In Augt. 1894
the villagers prayed
for additional Gomal
land as owing to
the mistake made
as regards sur no: 132
the actual extent
of Gomal was 48
acres & 7 guntas.

The Dy Commissioner
revised the
assignment of
the rest of sur no: 54,
viz 389 acres, as
village Gomal.

The Dy Commissioner
was called upon to
furnish further par-
ticulars which he
has done in his
letter no: 407 of 4th
November 1895
now pending orders.

There are 1265
heads of Cattle. and
the Dy Commissioner reports that

X Letter no 872
of 11-4-95.

4 379 acres of formal
are absolutely
necessary but
500 acres would
be required.

If 389 acres of
no 54 be transferred
as formal the
total would be —

sur no:	act.
38	9. 13
83	11. 38
101	4. 27
129	4. 9
132	18. 33
	389. 39

54.
Total - 438 - 39

49 - 0
529 - 39
<u>618 - 39</u>
100 - 39
<u>600 - 0</u>

Submitted for
orders —

What was meant to be
reserved as formal was
No 54 & not No 132.

The latter may therefore
be opened & known
open for cultivation,
while what remains
of the former, viz

389 - 39 may be assigned
as free pasture land

To, the D.C. Tennent no 5443.
P.F. 13-95

L/11.12.95

Requests to submit a statement
re the most description of the
standing on the above land.

From, the D.C. Tennent no 5817
L. no 631 & 31.1.96. 724009

Submits a statement called above

of the conservator no 7582

L/22.2.96.

R2966

He reserves the above conservator
as in original for favor of his
opinion.

From, the Conservator, L. no. 175
16

L. no 122, L/7.7.96.
13

Submits copies of these in
correspondence re the Secretary
no 5443. The above will be
of the same with his opinion.

To, the D.C. Tennent

of the conservator,

the Supt. Rep. Secretary.

no 4491-3 L/14.11.96.

R15 67

Requests the Conservator of the D.C.
to arrange the above according
to certain instructions given in
communication with the Supt. of
Rep. Secretary.

Indexed

H. B. Hamman.

6

SECTION	10
FILE No.	199-91-5
SERIAL No.	Submitted

At the time of this settlement, about 600 acres were set apart as Gomal for the village of Ar'gipian halli in the Zunker Taluk. The extent of Gomal was composed of one big & 4 small sur: Nos. the extent of the big No. 132, having been entered in Phaisa Patrik as 569 acres & 39 guntas.

The same area, viz, 569 acres & 39 guntas was also entered against Kharab sur No. 54 of this village.

The villagers continued to use sur: No. 54 as their Gomal land of 569 acres.

But No. 54 an extent

2 R

ms

M. Court

In reply to this Office

W. 7582 dated 22nd Feb 1896
R2966

the Conservator of Forests
submits his opinion

He states that unless Govt.
consider that 388 acres
would suffice for the
gumal, the ~~whole~~^{rest} of Survey
no 54 containing 389 acres
may be set apart, though
about 50 acres of this
cannot be assessed as
gumal, without prejudice
to the requirements of the
Forest Department. An inclusion

*
of 50 acres of Survey no 54
(at the foot of the hill)
into the Devarayendur
State Forest will facilitate
patrol and fire protection.
The Dy. Commr. of Tumkur
states that owing to the
inferior quality of the
grazing available in
marable lands a
minimum area of
500 acres is required
for the Village cattle.

No. $\frac{123}{13}$ of 7/7/96.

* 420 - 50 + 18 (area
of no 132)

* out of 389
acres

Vide no 407 of
4/11/95

Col. Walker however states
that the villagers have virtually
the whole of the adjoining state
forests for grazing, which they
can have for 6 months in
the year on payment of a
small fee of 4 as, they are
well situated in this respect.

Subd. for orders.

CS R
14/7/96. 15/7/96

Subd. to Secretary

The preservation would like to
have 50 acres out of the remaining
portion of Survey No 54, for
rectification of boundary of the
State Forest if ~~the~~ that extent
of land can possibly be given
He however admits the poor nature
of the harbours on the land to be
left as Gomal.

The Defence on the other hand
points out that the original intention
was to leave ^{so much as} 600 acres as Gomal
and that the 50 acres now sought
to be taken have the best
grazing available. Yf

18/7/96

Subd. B. H. Commins

The inclusion of 50

2
180 acres was in-
cluded in the
Devaraya Sany
State Forest.

The villagers com-
plained in Decr
1891 & the Insp^r
Genl. of Forests informed
them (not no. of
of 5.1.94) that
they had 600 acres
of forest which was
ample.

In Jan'y 1894
the village Shambhoj
brought a notice
the Amildar that
sur. no. 132 did
not appear to measure
as much as 569
acres. A reference
was made to the
Sur. Dept. and
on looking into the
matter it was found
out that the area
was wrong, the correct
extent of the no.
being 18 acres &
33 guntas only.
The Akarband was

b

The statement now
received with the Deputy
Commissioner's letter No 531
dated 31st January 1896
shows that there are
3350 trees besides Bandare
Devadare & other minor
trees numbering in all 2000.
Leaving the minor trees out
of account, the number of
other trees standing on
the 370 acres of land out of
Survey No 5 would be at
the rate of 4 ^{trees} ~~acres~~ per acre.

Submitted for orders.

872 1896

Letter to the Comm-
-issioner with ref: to
his order above.

The above is on 14-2-96
of Mr. C. S. Gas
formal in desirable
and will be given to
the Commissioner of
Devadare & Bandare
I shall be glad if the Forest
Deptt. will favour me
with opinion in this matter.

Subd to the Commr. of the Conservator
of Forests, Madras. 14-2-96

In such cases it is desirable that
the Dy Commr should consult the
D Forest Offr before making his report
report of the Commr the Dy Commr
may be asked to give the
opinion

18/2/96

18/2/96

18/2/96

18/2/96

are 1007 inhabitants and ^{(12) 3} 1265 head of cattle
in the said village and its hamlets. The
ryots' prayer is therefore deserving of
consideration. There are other unassess-
ed waste numbers measuring in all
478 acres and 10 guntas. Of them a
portion of Survey No. 54, viz: 389 acres and
39 guntas, may, they say, be set apart
for free pasturage. This will make
a total of 438 acres and 6 guntas of
Gomal. The Superintendent of Revenue
Survey was addressed, but he, in his
Gadi No. 1206 dated the 7th March 1895, ~~is~~
wants Government authority for the pro-
posed re-allotment. I have therefore
made this reference and beg for the
needful sanction of Government. —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,



Deputy Commissioner.

9

I think so to
 all

Enclosing the
 50 acres left is
 above I think
 there is more
 than enough of
 forest land
 in the village.

all

25/7/96

Add 50 acres out of
 389 acres of 2054
 1st State Forest &
 improve the
 boundary line

The rest is 389
 is in the large
 coniferous country
 that there is a large
 number of trees on
 this will be a good forest
 it. 132 miles
 given away
 for cultivation

with

27/10/96

Just
 recd. of
 29-10-96

Subd with
 draft of
 5/11/96

acres of this land in the
 State Forest. Secus
 desirable. By this is
 done & the rest of the
 110 given to the village
 as forest in addition
 to 132 & the existing
 forest 101. The village
 cannot reasonably
 complain. As the
 1st Council has decided
 on this point, the file
 has to go back to the
 2nd Council for
 disposal. of

25/7/96.

John Smith

27-7-96

(389 - 50 = 339)

Gen. Serial No. 81

OFFICE OF
1894/36/4
Q 3692

SECTION L.R. From
FILE NO. 192-94 The Deputy Commissioner
SARAJ NO. 1 Gunter District
To

The Chief Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore.

Dated 11th April 1895.

Despatched 12th do —

Received 13th

Enclosures No.

General No. 872
No.

In order the circumstances
represented recommending
sanction to the allotment
of additional lands for
the free pasturage of the
cattle of Begujjannaballi
and its hamlets in Vendi-
zeri Hobli of the Gunter
Taluk. —

Recd

17/4

W. S. W.

Submitted for orders.

18/4/95 23/4
16/5/95

(15)

It is noted
that Sadagpre
Kijde Hobli
was settled
along with the
rest of the numbers
20 years ago
and explanation
should be sent
- settled why
this application
has been made
after this long
interval

und
18/5/95

Cattle how many are
sheep and goats.

3. How much assessed
waste is available for
grazing purposes?
4. Why is Survey No 54
treated as Kharab?
A list of unassessed
numbers available
for pasture should
be submitted.

The Vernacular records
connected with the case
together with the village
map should also be
submitted.

By Order.

2/5

offg Chief Secy.

16/5/95

2

No. 872

Sunthur District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated 11th April 1895.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

In the survey accounts of
Aregijjanahalli in the Birdigere taluk
of the Sunthur District, Survey Nos. 88, 89, 90,
129 and 132 of the said village measur-
ing in all 600 acres and 6 guntas have
been set apart as gowal or free pastur-
age for the above named village and
its hamlets, Venkatrayanapalya, Hinda-
maradapalya and Bahiwapalya.
But the extent of one of the said survey
numbers viz. No. 132 had been wrongly
entered by the Survey Department as
569 acres and 39 guntas. The error
was subsequently corrected by that
Department and the area of the number
entered as 58 acres. The extent designed
for gowal was thus reduced to 48
acres and 7 guntas. The ryots concerned
pray for the allotment of additional
lands to make up the deficiency of
(600-6 minus 48-7) or 552 acres. There
are

4

SECTION	<u>LH</u>
FILE No.	<u>192-94</u>
SERIAL No.	<u>2</u>

To, the Dy Commr

No 21533

R3082

21.5.35

(18)

9

5877^x

Deal No 1

Chief Commissioner's Proceedings no: 125 dated the 22nd February 1869. But having regard to the inferior quality of the grazing available in unarable lands generally, a minimum area of 500 acres will, it is expected, be sufficient for the requirements of the village.

3. Out of the 1265 head of Cattle, 125 are Sheep and 180 are goats.

4. The extent of assessed waste lands available in the village is 59 acres and 27 guntas as shown below.

<u>Survey No.</u>	<u>Area.</u>		<u>Assessment.</u>		
	<u>A.</u>	<u>G.</u>	<u>Rs.</u>	<u>As.</u>	<u>Ps.</u>
30	15	32	8	8	-
32	11	37	5	8	-
33	4	22	2	12	-
36	13	17	6	8	-
52	6	37	3	8	-
53	2	20	1	4	-
121	4	22	22	12	-
	59 - 27		50 - 12 -		

These lands, with the exception of no: 121 are, it is reported, unfit for

10


(19)

for grazing purposes.

5.. Survey no: 54 is treated as Kharab apparently owing to its being unsuited for cultivation. A list of unassessed Survey nos: in the village is appended below.

Survey no:	Extent.	Remarks.
	a. g.	
15. —	2 - 37 —	Rocky.
28 —	6 - 22. —	do —
29 —	74 - 16 —	Is Stony and is overgrown with jungle.
Portion of 54 —	389 - 39 —	Overgrown with jungle. 
31 —	2 - 6 —	Katte'.
79 —	" - 38 —	Rocky
85. —	3 - 28 —	do.

6.. At the time of Survey Settlement 5 Survey nos: to the extent of 600 acres and 6 guntas as particularized in the Margin were set apart as Gomal for the Cattle of Aregujjanahalli village

Survey no: 
 38 —
 83 —
 101 —
 129 —
 132 —

2.

The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District.

Dated 21-5-95


No. $\frac{21533}{R3082}$

His letter No. 872 dated
14 April 1895 - soliciting
sanction to the allotment
of additional ^{Gomal} land for
~~the purpose~~ of the
Cattle of Aregujjan village
and its hamlets in Erdi-
gere Taluk of the Tumkur
Taluk.

With reference to the
above, request information
- on the following
points: -

1. How much Gomal land
is absolutely necessary
for the Cattle of the village
and its hamlets?
2. Of the 1265 head of

Gen. 31.]



(3)

Ref 1 Decr
Mr. 26/17/95

SECTION L. R. The Deputy Commissioner
 FILE No. 192-94
 S.W. No. 20 The Revenue Secretary
 to the Govt. of Mysore.

Tumkur District.

General Records

Please put up with
 Commr. Proceedings No
 125-82/2/89 regarding
 within

Dated 4 November 1895.

Despatched 5/11/95

Received

General No. 407
 No. 7

Enclosure No. 5

The Proceeding quoted within
 and other papers are put up with
 Petition No. 204 of 1892 and sent to the
 Branch on 9/11/92. See current record book
 book B 3 of 1892.

His Docket No. 21533
 21st Mar 1895. A 3082

Furnishing the information
 called for regarding the pro-
 posed allotment of additional
 gound land for the cattle of
 Aregujjanahalli and its
 hamlets in the Tumkur
 Taluk.

Peter Francis

Please see above and put up with
 11/11

Sh. B.
 16/11

2007/1/10/238. 27/6/92/23/8

2007/2/20/238. 27/6/92/23/8

2007/3/20/238. 27/6/92/23/8

12-11-95

P. 202-92 is found up

14/11

Submitted with reference.

Subm. H. W. M.

20/11/95

2231
course as he feared it would entail the
State Forest being leavened with grazing
rights wholly inimical to its interests.

In my No. 3877 of 21st May to
156
the Deputy Commissioner, I observe -
that in combating this view I overlooked the
fact that it was apparently intended
originally to assign No. 54 with an area
of 569-39 ^{acres - plus} as goraal in place of Survey
No. 132, which is only 18-33 ^{acres - plus} and I
must therefore fully admit the force of
the Deputy Commissioner's and District
Forest Officer's arguments in favor of the
assignment of the whole remaining por-
tion of Survey No. 54, viz 389 acres as goraal.

3. At the same time I must invite
attention to para 2 of the Deputy
Commissioner's letter to Government
No. 407 of 4th November 1895 in which
he states that the proportion of goraal
assignable for 1265 heads of cattle (which
includes 125 sheep and 180 goats) at 30 acres
per 100 according to Chief Commissioner's
Proceedings

N^o 407
En: 5

Tumkur district
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Tumkur 4th November 1895.

To.

The Revenue Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket
No. ^x $\frac{21533}{R. 3082}$ of the 21st May 1895 calling for ^x info
information on certain points re-
-garding the proposed allotment
of additional Gomal land for the
Cattle of Aregijjanahalli and its
hamlets in the Tumkur Taluk, I have
the honor to state as follows: —

2. There are 1265 head of cattle
in Aregijjanahalli village and
its hamlets. The extent of Gomal
land required for these is 379 acres
and 20 guntas, at the rate of 30 acres
for 100 cattle according to Chief —

and its hamlets. The extent of Survey
no. 132 had been wrongly entered by
the Survey Department. The villagers
were not aware of this mistake and they
only knew that a number measuring
569 acres and 39 guntas was one of their
goumal lands, so that they exercised
their privileges on land no. 54 which
comprised that area and they conti-
-nued to do so until 1892, when some
of the villagers were arrested by the
Forest Department and criminally
punished for having entered upon
that portion of No. 54 which was
annexed to the Devaraya Durga State
Forest. They therefore requested the
revenue authorities to rectify the
mistake and prayed for the allotment
of additional goumal land for their
Cattle.

As

180

25/7

Vernacular records including:-
1. Thunkur Taluk Stridars
No: 253 of 9.8.95.
2. Sinner Superintendent's Yard
No: 4724 of 16.9.95.

7/12 As directed in para 2 of the docket under reference, I beg to Submit herewith the marginally noted Vernacular records relating to the case together with the village map, the fusal patrika and the Akarbund.

I have the honor to be.

Sir,


Your most obedient servant

P. Venkatarao

For Offg: Deputy Commr.

St. Thunkur.

65
G.O. 31.]



SECTION <u>L J B</u>	From <u>The Deputy Commissioner</u>
FILE No. <u>192-94</u>	<u>Trunkur District</u>
SERIAL No. <u>5</u>	To <u>The Revenue Secretary</u>

to the Govt. of Mysore

Dated 3rd January 1896

Despatched do

Received

General No. 531
No.

Enclosure No. 1 of record.

His Docket No. 5443
R.H. 3-95
27th December 1895

Submits a statement
showing the no. and
description of trees
standing on the 890 acres
of land proposed to be
allotted for the settlement
of Aregijjanahalli in the
Trunkur Taluk.

Per - Ref

3/2/96
Ref. perhaps
7/2
Subordinate Officer's note
R.D. 872/96.

No. 631 Deputy Commissioner's office
Tumkur District
Tumkur 31st January, 1896

To The Revenue Secretory to the
Government of Mysore.

Sir,

As called for in your Docket

No. 5743 dated the 1st December 1895.
R. 713-95

I have the honor to submit herewith
a statement shewing the number and
description of trees &c. standing on the
390 acres of land proposed to be allotted
out of Aharab Survey No. 54 as addi-
-tional gowal for the cattle of Are-
gijjanna Haki in the Tumkur Taluk.
The Vernacular records received with
the above are herewith returned.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

W. H. Maclean Esq

By Deputy Commissioner

Statement showing the no and description of trees existing on the 690 acres of land proposed to be allotted for the establishment of a sugar plantation in the Hawaiian Islands.

17

Description of trees	No.
Palau trees	250
Pambao clumps	80
Pachira trees	1000
Candall trees	50
Pahamangi trees	500
Chalced	500
Poholi trees	1000
Pahalahani Deciduous and other minor trees	2,000
Total	5,330

Stewart
21st January
1896

Wm Maxwell Esq
Offg Deputy Commissioner

Draft of a report

18

(6)

To

SECTION	L. I. R.
FILE No.	192-94
SERIAL No.	6

The Conservator of
Forests in Mysore

Dated 22.2.96

Sd/- 7.5.82 Encl. 1

I am directed
to state


that out of the area of 1204
measuring 569 acres and 37 fathoms
in the village of the Puzhayanahalli, Taluk,
in the Taluk of the same name,
an extent of 130 acres
appears to have been taken
up for the Government
State Forest. The Deputy
Commissioner of the
District, in his letter No. 872
of the 4th April 1875, recom-
mends the remaining ex-
tent of the said forest, ~~as~~
~~about 370 acres, may be~~
~~being~~
assigned as forest for
the cattle of the village
and its hamlets. As the
land

land acquisition, seems to lie
on the borders of the Sava-
-roya durga State - ~~India~~
I am to request that you
~~the Conservator of Forests~~
will be so good as to
favor the Government
with ^{your} opinion as to
whether the same may be
assigned as game without
prejudice to the require-
-ments of the Forest De-
-partment.

2. I am to add that the assignment
of this land for free grazing, ~~the same~~ is
desirable in the ~~public~~ ^{the} ~~interests~~
interests of the ~~people~~ ^{people} concerned. I have an

20/1/96
22/1/96
Secy

3. The correspondence with
the Dept. on the subject is
forwarded in original,
for reference and kind
return ^{Yr}



Subd. with note in continuation

2 14/7/96

Vide notes on enclosure

SECTION 1	From
FILE NO. 792-86	To
SERIAL NO. 7	

For Conservator of Forests in Mysore

Secretary to the Government of Mysore
 Dated Genl: & Rev: Depts.
 Despatched } 7th July 1896.
 Received

General No. 123
 No. 13

Enclosure No. 4 and on file
 Secretary to 7582 of
 22nd February 1896 and
 subsequent reminder.

In reply, submit copies of certain correspondence regarding the assignment of a portion of Survey No. 54 in the Chagijjimala village bordering on the Devarayana State Forest together with his opinion on the subject.

Recd 8/7/96 Mr. [unclear]
 2887

No

Office of the Conservator of Forests
in Mysore.

Dated, Bangalore, July 1896.

From

The Conservator of Forests
in Mysore.To
The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Referring to your No. $\frac{4532}{2966}$ of 22nd
February last, (enclosures herewith
returned) I have the honor to submit
copies of correspondence as herewith given which
will account for the delay in reply.

It will be observed that the District
Forest Officer, Tumkur, while recognizing
that the inclusion of about 50 acres more of
Survey No. 54 would improve the
boundary of the State Forest land, and
as to move it down to the foot of the hills
did not recommend the adoption of that
Course

Conservation No. 202 of 10-3-96 to Deputy Commissioner.
Deputy Commissioner's Memo No. 1161 of 10-3-96 to Conservator
with enclosures were by District Officer of Mysore.
Conservation No. 3899 of 21-5-96 to District Commissioner.
Deputy Commissioner's Memo No. 1701 of 1-7-96 to Conservator.

~~25~~ ¹²² out of Survey No. 54, the ganna available
for the villagers would only amount to about
420 acres. an area which cannot be said to
be too much for 1265 head of cattle.

I have &c

(Signed) Mr. Karain Rao
offg. Dy. Commissioner

Copy

For Conservator of Forests

and

913

43

Re-Draft as per orders

No 4981

34

11

Head: +

FILE NO.	192-94
DATE	14-11-96

(1) Correspondence ending with letter No 631 dated 31st January 1896 from the Dy Commr of the Tumkur District regarding the allotment of additional gomal lands for the cattle of Aregujjanhalli and its hamlets in the Tumkur Taluk.

(2) Letter No 123 dated 7th July 1896 from the Conservator of Forests submitting his views as regards additional land required out of Survey No. 54 of the said village, to improve the boundary of the Devaraya Durga State Forest in the Tumkur District.

me the order
in submitting this
to 147-96
usual

14-11-96

21/11/96

Subd by 2nd Comdr
perusal

21/11/96
23-11-96
23/11

4491-93 & 14-11-96
R:15-67

4423-25 & 14-11-96
76-963

Order thereon: —
At the time of survey

^{HK}
~~and~~ Settlement which took
Place in 1872, Survey No. 132
and four other small nos.
showing an aggregate extent
of 600 acres & 6 guntas were
assigned as village gomal
for Arigujjanhalli. But,
by an oversight evidently,
the same area of 569 - $\frac{5}{3}$ was
recorded in the Settlement
papers against Survey No.
132 (gomal) and Survey No. 54
(Kharab), the actual area
of No. 132 being 18 and $\frac{7}{3}$ only.
In full justification of the
concession allowed at the
time of the Settlement, the
ryots went on using Sur-
vey No. 54 as their gomal
land. About the year 1882-85,
an extent of 180 acres out of
this No. was included in the
Devaraya Durga State Forest. ^{while the ryot}
~~The ryots continued to exercise~~ continued to be
used as gomal.

22
course as he feared it would entail the
State Forest being burdened with grazing
rights wholly inimical to its interests.

In my Op. 38⁰⁰ of 21st May to
156
the Deputy Commissioner, I observed
that in combating his view I overlooked the
fact that it was apparently intended
originally to assign Op. 54 with an area
of ^{para 2 p. 105} 569-39 — as goral in place of Survey
Op. 132, which is only ^{para 2 p. 105} 18-33 — and I
must therefore fully admit the force of
the Deputy Commissioner and District
Forest Officer's arguments in favor of the
assignment of the whole remaining por-
tion of Survey Op. 54, viz 339 acres as goral.

3. At the same time I must draw
attention to para 2 of the Deputy
Commissioner's letter to Government
Op. 407 of 4th November 1895 in which
he states that the proportion of goral
assignable for 1265 head of cattle (which
includes 125 sheep and 150 goats) at 30000
per 100 according to Chief Commissioner's
Proceedings.

No 3879
156.

30
Office of the Conservator of Forests
in Mysore
Dated, Bangalore 21st May 1896.

From ~~Enclosed to Secy~~
The Conservator of Forests
in Mysore

To The Deputy Commissioner
Sunkur District.

Sir,

Referring to your No 1461 of $\frac{13}{15}$ 1896
instant, I have the honor to remark that
the District Forest Officer whilst admitting
that the inclusion of about 50 acres of Survey
No 54, would improve the boundary line
of the State Forest bringing it down to the
bottom of the hill hesitates to recommend
that course in case it could only be effected
under condition of recognizing the rights
of the complainants (i.e. Petitioners) But
the Petitioners evidently have no rights in
Survey No 54 which has not even been
assigned as goral and it would appear some
that a reasonable compromise may be
effected by adding the 50 acres more or less
referred

23

Proceedings No 135 of 23rd February 1869
would be ^{an} ~~fair~~ ^{more} corresponding ^{to} ~~the~~
nearly to what the *Argemone* *chilensis* village
would have if the 50 acres were taken
from ~~the~~ ^{the} 50, and the 1840 acres of ^{the} 1840
thrown open for cultivation. I must say
I should not consider such a provision
adequate, considering the very poor nature
of the herbage were it not that the villagers
have virtually the whole of the adjoining
State Forest available for grazing with
other during 6 months of the year on
payment of the small fee of annas 4 -
and are therefore exceptionally well
situated in this respect.

I have already in other communications
dwelt on the advantages of a practicable
boundary line to the State Forest.
Apart from the facilities of ^{and protection} ~~protection~~ it
must be readily apparent that while it
is impossible to prevent cattle entering the
Forest from below it is all but impossible
to prevent them straying or being driven
across a line half way up on top of a
hill.

hitherto.
4. To reply to your reference categorically,
I am of opinion that the 53 acres now or
less out of Survey to 54 cannot be
assigned as gorral without prejudice
to the requirements of the Forest
Department, whilst on the other hand
I see clearly that the villagers have at
least a good moral claim to it for
grazing purposes and that therefore under
Government consideration that 388 acres $\frac{1}{2} 420 - 50 + 18$ (area
of $\frac{1}{2} 420 - 50 + 18$) = 388
would suffice under the circumstances
above represented the boundary line must
remain as it is and the whole 389 acres
remaining out of Survey to 54 be transferred
to gorral."

I have thought it expedient to express
my views at some length as they are of
general application.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,
Munshi Waheed-ud-Din
Conservator of Forests

referred to by the District Forest Officer to the State Forest and assigning the remainder of Survey No 54 which is stated to contain 389 acres as jungle.

This would give us a much better boundary for the State Forest capable of patrol and protection whilst giving the villagers the use of about 340 acres more jungle and would therefore appear in all respects advisable.

2. I shall feel obliged by your intimating your views which I trust will concur with the above at a very early date so that I may address Government who are sending reminders on the subject.

I have &c
 (Ed) J. Campbell Walker, Esq.
Conservator of Forests

"Copy"
 for Conservator of Forests

Ed

27

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Office No 1461

Deputy Commissioner's Office,

Tumkur District

Tumkur $\frac{13}{15}$ to May 1896

To The Conservator of Forests

~~Comptroller~~

Sir,

In returning herewith the original file of papers received with your docket No $\frac{2331}{110}$ of the 10th March 1896, in regard to the proposed allotment of the unutilized portion of Survey No 54 of Nagujjanahalli, to the inhabitants of that village for free grazing, I have the honor to forward copy of a memo received from the District Forest Officer, stating that there is no objection to the land in question being assigned for the use of the villagers. I agree with the District Forest Officer in the opinion that State Forests should not be encumbered with vested rights, the exercise of which cannot be permitted consistently with the interests of the Forest Department.

I have &c

(Signed) M. Varma Rao

Deputy Commissioner

Copy

To The Conservator of Forests

MSD

Memorandum

Enclosure to No 287

In reply to the Deputy Commissioner's
 remark of the 12th instant passed on the
 Conservator's Report No. 2221 dated the 10th
 instant, the undersigned begs to state that
 the Demarcation line of the Devarayadug
 State Forest runs for a small distance on
 the top of one of the hills situated in Survey
 No. 54 of Oraguppanahalli. A further in-
 -clusion of nearly 50 acres will improve the
 line since in this case it may be taken at
 the bottom of the hill above named but
 the present inclusion may possibly be
 effected only with the condition of recogni-
 -zing the rights of the complainants which
 in the mind of the undersigned, appears
 to be wholly inimical to the interests
 of the State Forest. The hill over which
 the line now runs is not a high one and
 consequently does not make the patrolling
 very difficult. Therefore to avoid all possibility
 of complaints with the main object of
 having

Transferring the Forest land over which no kind
of rights exist, the undersigned begs to think
that the present line need not be altered
and that the remaining area of 1057 that
has not been included within the limits
of the State Forests may be given away to
the complainants as free grazing land.

(Signed) Mr. Venkataranayappa
District Forest Officer.

Copy
(Signed) Mr. Narain Rao
Offg. Dy Commissioner.

Copy
Approved
for Conservator of Forests
MRD

Alin 1701.

Forest Officer
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Punjab 20th June 1896
1st July 1896

To

The Conservator of Forests
in Mysore

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 3877 of the 21st May 1896, I have the honor to forward for your information copy of my letter to the Secretary to Government No. 407 dated 4th November 1895, from which it will be seen that the villagers of Chagoojjanahalli were given borders of Gamal at the Permy settlement and that they are therefore entitled to that area. Survey No. 54 was included within this area. Out of Survey No. 54, about 180 acres have already been taken out for the Devanahalli forest, leaving only 390 acres for gamal. It is true that the further inclusion of about 50 acres would improve the boundary line of the Pate forest, but, as it would take in the best portion of the grazing land available, the villagers would continue to complain. I would not therefore advocate any further curtailment of the gamal land to the addition of the 390 acres.

25

their rights on the area taken
up by the Forest Department
and they were furnished ~~with~~
~~the same~~. ~~At last~~ In 1894,
the mistake was discovered and
pointed out to the Survey
Department, who corrected
the area of Survey No. 132 as
18 acres - 33 guntas. Thereupon
the ryots complained that
the extent of gomal allowed
them had been reduced from
600 to 49 acres, and prayed
for additional gomal land
of 551 acres. The Dy Commr
recommends that the re-
-maining portion of Survey
No. 54, viz 389 acres - 39 guntas
be allowed as gomal. Owing
to the inferior quality of the
grazing available in un-
-arable lands, the Dy Commr
considers that a larger
area would be necessary
to fully meet the requirements

of the village with 1265 head
of cattle. Admitting, as he
does, the poor nature of the
herbage on the land to be
assigned as gomal, ^{but} the con-
servator of Forests is of opinion
that 50 acres more or less out
of the ~~remaining portion of~~
~~Survey No. 54~~ cannot be as-
signed as gomal without
prejudice to the requirements
of the Forest Department
and that the inclusion of
this additional area would
improve the boundary of
the State Forest and at the
same time afford facilities
of patrol and fire protection.

2. Under these circumstances,
Govt direct that 50 acres
out of the remaining extent
of 389 acres of Survey No. 54
be added on to the Deva-
rayadurga State Forest.

Acres out of Survey No 54, the ground available
for the villagers would only amount to about
420 acres an area which cannot be said to
be too much for 1265 head of cattle.

I have &c.

(Signed) Otho. Otavain Rao
offg. Dy. Commissioner

Copy.

Copy

For Conservation of Forests

and

913

106981
Re-Enrolment of the

34

11

Head: LR
 FILE NO. 192-94
18

Issue the following
 then see and etc.
 Report to 10072
 Newness of

14-11-98
 21/11/98

Subd by. Co-ordinator
 for, Newness

21/11/98

21/11/98

21/11/98

No 4491-93 of 14-11-98
 11-15-07 18-20

No 4423-25 of 14-11-98
 76-79/63

(1) Correspondence ending with letter No 631 dated 31st January 1896 from the Dy. Commr of the Tumkur District regarding the allotment of additional journal lands for the cattle of Aregujjankhalli and its hamlets in the Tumkur Taluk.

(2) Letter No 123 dated 7th July 1896 from the Conservator of Forests Submitting his views as regards additional land required out of Survey No. 54 of the said village, to improve the boundary of the Devaraya Durg a State Forest in the Tumkur District.

Order thereon: —
 At the time of Survey

and

and Settlement which took
place in 1872, Survey No. 132
and four other small nos.
showing an aggregate extent
of 600 acres & 6 guntas were
assigned as village gomal
for Arizujjamballi. But
by an oversight evidently,
the same area of 567-39 was
recorded in the Settlement
papers against Survey No.
32 (gomal) and Survey No. 54
(Kharab), the actual area
of No. 132 being 13 and 33 only.
In full justification of the
concession allowed at the
time of the Settlement, the
Rajots went on using Sur-
vey No. 54 as their gomal
land. About the year 1882-85,
an extent of 180 acres out of
this no. was included in the
Devaraya Durga State Forest. The Rajots continued to exercise

continued to be
used as gomal.

and its boundary be improved
In addition to the other 4
Small Survey nos, the rest
of Survey no. 54 viz 339 ams,
shall be assigned as gomal.
This is in itself a large con-
cession. Considering that
there is a large no of trees
on the land, and Survey no.
132 may be given away
for cultivation. The Con-
servator of Forests and the
Mg Commr are requested
to arrange accordingly in
communication with
the Secy of Revenue

Secretary

To. 14/11/96
Mg Commr
Jumkur Distt
with Vernacular record.

" Conservator of Forests.

" Supt of Revenue.

